1 2 3 4 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 6 AT TACOMA 7 P.S., individually, CASE NO. C23-6026 BHS 8 Plaintiff, **ORDER** 9 v. 10 ADNA SCHOOL DISTRICT, et al., 11 Defendants. 12 13 THIS MATTER is before the Court on defendant William Veatch's motion to stay this case pending the outcome of "imminent" felony charges against him. Dkt. 22. Minor 14 15 plaintiff P.S. asserts that Veatch sexually abused her at Adna Middle School and High 16 School, where she was a student and he was a janitor. Dkt. 1. Veatch's mother Shanda 17 was a teacher in defendant Adna School District, and apparently also had custody of P.S. 18 *Id.* at 3, 5. Shanda Veatch is also a defendant. *Id.* 19 Veatch asserts that forcing him to proceed with discovery in this civil case would require him to jeopardize his Fifth Amendment rights. Dkt. 22 at 3. He asks the Court to 20

stay all proceedings pending the outcome of any charges, including a trial. *Id*.

21

22

1 | 2 | A1 | 3 | Su | 4 | be | 5 | A1 | 6 | | 7 | inc | 8 | str | 9 | im | 10 | P.1 | 11 | dis

13

14

15

16

12

17 18

20

21

19

22

P.S. argues that a stay will prejudice her, and that Veatch is free to assert his Fifth Amendment rights in the civil case. Dkt. 25 at 3–5 (citing *Keating v. Office of Thrift Supervision*, 45 F.3d 322, 326 (9th Cir. 1995) (a "defendant has no absolute right not to be forced to choose between testifying in a civil matter and asserting his Fifth Amendment privilege")).

P.S. asserts without rebuttal that depositions have already been scheduled, including hers. Dkt. 25 at 3. She suggests that the motion may be pretextual, or at least strategic, because Veatch's counsel informed her six months ago that charges were imminent, and they were not. *Id.* at 5–6. Veatch did not move for a stay at that time. *Id.* P.S. argues alternatively that discovery should proceed as to the other defendants, even if discovery directed to Veatch is stayed pending his potential prosecution. *Id.* at 7. The parties agree that the matter is addressed to the Court's discretion. Dkt. 22 at 4; Dkt. 25 at 4.

The Court concludes that all discovery directed to defendant Veatch, including interrogatories, requests for production or admission, and his deposition, should be **STAYED** pending the resolution of his criminal prosecution or until further order of this Court. It also concludes that the interests of fairness and justice require that discovery by and against the remaining parties to proceed while that potential prosecution is ongoing. The possibility that some discovery may have to be repeated does not outweigh the prejudice to P.S. in having the entire case dormant, indefinitely. Veatch's motion to stay is, to that extent, **GRANTED**. His request to strike the trial date is **DENIED** without prejudice.

The parties jointly shall advise the Court of the status of the criminal proceedings no later than January 31, 2025. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated this 5th day of November, 2024. United States District Judge